TEST PLAN AND ACCEPTANCE RECORD

Test plan for SDD-CON-001 against the requirements and failure conditions of SRA-CON-001

Test document — plan complete; results are recorded at acceptance

CAPABILITY	DOCUMENT	VERSION	DATE
Consulting & Engineering	STP-CON-001	1.2	Oct 10, 2026

WRITTEN BY

David Morgan, Principal Security Architect

© 2026 Symbeeo. All rights reserved.

Document control

Document	STP-CON-001	Version	1.2
Issued	Oct 10, 2026	Status	Issued by Symbeeo
Classification	Public	Supersedes	1.1, Oct 7, 2026
Capability	Consulting & Engineering	Author	David Morgan
Role	Principal Security Architect		
Tests	SRA-CON-001 R1–R17 and F1–F7 SDD-CON-001 engagement steps 5–6 (steps 1–4 through entry criteria and T2–T13), gates G0–G4, §1.4–§1.5 and §6 (evidence, sanitization, handover)		
Credentials	CCISO, CISSP, CISSP-ISSEP, CISM, CISA, CRISC, PMP, CCSP, Adopting Zero Trust (Forrester)		
Approval	Approved by Edwin Ozer, Founder, Oct 10, 2026. Issued by Symbeeo, Oct 10, 2026.		
Sign-off	"The customer's named acceptor signs the results; the practice lead countersigns. Acceptance = every T-case marked pass or waived with a reason."		

Contents

1 · Scope, environment, entry and exit 3
2 · Test cases 3
3 · Validated components and versions (filled at test) 6
4 · Acceptance record 7

© 2026 Symbeeo. All rights reserved. · Public

CONVENTIONS

Structure follows ISO/IEC/IEEE 29148:2018: every requirement carries one verification method — inspection, analysis, demonstration or test — and the method column below uses only those four [S]. The architecture-review cases follow the evaluation outputs ISO/IEC/IEEE 42030 and the SEI ATAM name: scenarios, risks, sensitivity points, trade-offs [S]. Where the thing tested is a document, the tester is not its author. Results are recorded at acceptance. A row with more than one tag is counted once: as a published figure if any tag is [S] or [S*], otherwise as an assumption if any tag is [A], otherwise as a design target.

Table 1. Revision history

Date	Version	Change
Sep 27, 2026	1.0	First issue.
Oct 7, 2026	1.1	T10 regime list narrowed to EU and US regimes, as in SRA-CON-001 R10.
Oct 10, 2026	1.2	Approval line now reads: approved by the Founder, issued by Symbeeo. David Morgan remains the author.

1 · Scope, environment, entry and exit

Scope. Every deliverable of SDD-CON-001 Model B: baseline, CBOM, CSF 2.0 profiles, requirements register, architecture review, risk register, regulatory note, roadmap, program controls, evidence handling. **Environment.** The client's evidence workspace (SDD-CON-001 P6); discovery re-checks run in the scan windows of P3. **Entry.** SDD-CON-001 signed; P1–P8 closed. **Exit.** T1–T13, T15, T22, T24, T25 and T29 pass or are waived with a reason at G0 (T14, T23 and T30 at G4; T28, Model B only, before G1); T26 and T27 pass at the closing gate (G0 for Model A, G4 for Model B); every failure condition with a T-case exercised; evidence archived in the client's workspace. **Failover test:** not applicable, as the engagement builds no system. The nearest case, loss of one discovery source, is T16.

2 · Test cases

Table 2. Test cases

ID	Tests	Method	Pass criterion	Evidence it produces	Result	Evidence ref.	Date · initials
T1	R1 scope (input)	inspection: baseline sites and domains against the signed scoping record	every in-scope site and domain present; none added unsigned	scoping record against baseline site list, extract	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T2	R2 inventory coverage	analysis: reconciliation report per site	≥ 95 % of devices reconciled across two sources at every site	reconciliation report per site, extract	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T3	R3 lifecycle status	inspection: random sample of 30 items against the vendor URL recorded	30 of 30 dates match the vendor page, or "no public date" with URL	sample list with vendor URL and page screenshot per item	☐ pass ☐ fail		

© 2026 Symbeeo. All rights reserved. · Public

ID	Tests	Method	Pass criterion	Evidence it produces	Result	Evidence ref.	Date · initials
T4	R4 cryptographic inventory	test: independent re-scan of 10 external endpoints; validate the CBOM against the CycloneDX 1.7 schema	10 of 10 match the CBOM; schema validation passes; each quantum-vulnerable entry dated	re-scan log extract; schema-validation output	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T5	R5 CSF 2.0 profiles	inspection: NIST profile template	106 of 106 Subcategories carry a Current and a Target entry and a stated gap	completed profile template, exported	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T6	R6 requirement quality	inspection by an architect outside the engagement: 20 % of rows, minimum 20, against the 29148 characteristics; text search of all rows for product and vendor names	zero sampled rows fail; zero product or vendor names	reviewer's sample sheet; search log	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T7	R7 traceability	analysis: trace matrix	zero requirements without a stakeholder need; zero without a verification method	trace matrix, exported	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T8	R8 architecture review	inspection: utility tree and scenario list	≥ 12 scenarios, each with stimulus and measurable response	utility tree and scenario list	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T9	R9 risk register	inspection against the client's criteria (P5)	every risk has likelihood, impact, owner and treatment on those criteria	risk register extract	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T10	R10 regulatory applicability	inspection by the client's compliance lead	every regime on SRA-CON-001 R10's check list marked applicable or not applicable, with a one-sentence basis the lead accepts: EU: NIS2, DORA, the Cyber Resilience Act, EU GMP Annex 11 · US: NERC CIP, TSA security directives, HIPAA Security Rule, PCI DSS v4.0.1, NYDFS 23 NYCRR 500, FFIEC IT Examination Handbook, SEC Rule 17a-4 and Regulation S-P, CJIS Security Policy, FedRAMP / GovRAMP, Section 508, NDAA §889, 21 CFR Part 11, CMMC with NIST SP 800-171, AWIA §2013 (SDWA §1433); no regime outside that list is marked	compliance lead's signed note	☐ pass ☐ fail		

© 2026 Symbeeo. All rights reserved. · Public

ID	Tests	Method	Pass criterion	Evidence it produces	Result	Evidence ref.	Date · initials
T11	R11 roadmap	analysis: roadmap against gap and requirement registers	every item has one horizon, ≥ 1 gap ID, ≥ 1 requirement ID	roadmap-to-register trace, exported	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T12	R12 independence	inspection: declarations and first-scan timestamp	every engagement member's declaration dated before the first scan	declarations; scan log extract with first timestamp	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T13	R13 turnaround	inspection: access-granted date and report-issue date	≤ 20 working days	access-granted and issue records	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T14	R14 program control	demonstration at each gate G1–G4	one plan, one RAID log, one decision log across suppliers	plan, RAID and decision logs at each gate	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T15	R15 evidence handling; SDD-CON-001 §6 sanitization	inspection: storage location, deletion record and media certificates	raw data only in the client's workspace; Symbeeo copies deleted ≤ 30 days after acceptance; one NIST SP 800-88 Rev. 2 certificate per Symbeeo device that held client data	storage listing; deletion record; SP 800-88 Rev. 2 certificate per device	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T16	F1 discovery source unavailable	test: disable one source for one site in a dry run	coverage shown below R2 for that site and flagged; not reported as complete	coverage report showing the flag	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T17	F2 reconciliation below 95 %	analysis: run the reconciliation on a seeded dataset with 10 % mismatches	baseline marked partial; gaps listed per site; R13 risk raised	reconciliation output on the seeded set	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T18	F3 stakeholder unavailable	demonstration: withhold one delegate's interview	affected requirements held "open", none drafted without a source	register extract with "open" rows	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T19	F4 no public lifecycle date	inspection: items marked "no public date"	each carries the URL searched and a logged vendor query	item list with URLs; vendor query log	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T20	F5 conflict of interest found	demonstration: tabletop with a simulated late declaration	engagement paused same day; Founder decision recorded	tabletop minutes; Founder decision record	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T21	F6 scope change; SDD-CON-001 §1.4	demonstration: submit a change adding one site	written change order states what, why, cost and schedule effect (including R13); signed by both parties before work starts	signed change order	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T22	step 5 · G0 handover	inspection: register version handed to the comparison	version and hash recorded; later edits only by change order	register version and hash record	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T23	step 6 · re-test at G4	analysis, demonstration and inspection: repeat T11, T14 and T30	pass	as T11, T14 and T30	☐ pass ☐ fail		

© 2026 Symbeeo. All rights reserved. · Public

ID	Tests	Method	Pass criterion	Evidence it produces	Result	Evidence ref.	Date · initials
T24	encryption in transit and at rest of the evidence workspace (P6)	inspection: workspace storage-encryption setting; transfer of one discovery file into it	encryption at rest on; transfer over TLS 1.2 or later [A]	settings screenshot; transfer log extract showing protocol	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T25	discovery activity reaches the client's collector	demonstration: one scheduled scan in a P3 window	scan source, time and targets visible in the client's security log collector within the window	collector query result, screenshot	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T26	SDD-CON-001 §1.5 weekly status report	inspection at G0 and G4	one report per week of the engagement, each with % complete per deliverable, slippage against plan	report file list with dates	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T27	SDD-CON-001 §6 handover pack	inspection at G0 (Model A) or G4 (Model B)	every item of the §6 list present; every access granted for the engagement confirmed revoked	handover checklist, signed; access-revocation record	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T28	F7 supplier misses a stage gate (Model B)	demonstration: tabletop with the sponsor and the members-designate of the design authority before G1, using a simulated supplier whose STP record for one gate is unsigned	gate recorded as closed; RAID item raised with owner and date; escalation to the design authority minuted; no later gate opened for that supplier	tabletop minutes; RAID log extract; gate record showing "closed"	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T29	R16 review findings traced	inspection: risk, sensitivity-point and trade-off lists against the design list	every risk, sensitivity point and trade-off cites one R8 scenario	review findings list with scenario references	☐ pass ☐ fail		
T30	R17 stage-gate evidence (Model B)	inspection at each gate G1–G4	each gate record cites its signed evidence record per SDD-CON-001 §5.2; G3 cites each supplier's signed STP acceptance record; no gate opened without its record	gate records with the cited evidence records	☐ pass ☐ fail		

3 · Validated components and versions (filled at test)

The engagement tests documents and data, not devices; the components are the software and data models that produce or check the evidence, and each is recorded with its exact version.

Table 3. Validated components and versions (filled at test)

Component or test tool	Version	Used in
Discovery scanner(s)		T2, T4, T16, T25
CMDB or source of truth, and its data-model version		T2, T3

© 2026 Symbeeo. All rights reserved. · Public

Component or test tool	Version	Used in
Network model or assurance tool, if used (SRA-CON-001 D3)		T2
CSF 2.0 profile template		T5
CycloneDX schema used for the CBOM, and the validator		T4
Requirements tool, and the register version and hash		T6, T7, T22
Client evidence workspace (platform)		T15, T24
Client security log collector		T25
Independent reviewer (role)		T6

4 · Acceptance record

Table 4. Acceptance record

	Name	Role	Signature	Date
Client acceptor (sponsor)				
Symbeeo test lead				
Symbeeo practice lead				

Acceptance terms.

- Acceptance is **positive and signed** by the client's named acceptor. It is never given by silence or by use of a deliverable.
- **Review window: 10 business days** from delivery of the results. **Fix window: 10 business days** for any failed case, followed by one re-review. Both are defaults [A], drawn from the 5–30 day range in public contracts, and are set per contract.
- **The warranty starts on the date of signed acceptance**: the assessment phase at G0; Model B's governance at G4. The warranty here is Symbeeo's correction, at no charge, of a deliverable later found not to meet its T-case.

Waivers and open defects are recorded at acceptance.

© 2026 Symbeeo. All rights reserved. · Public

SYMBEEO

Each vendor's tool shows you their option. We show you all of them against one requirement.

Compared. Then Delivered.

hello@symbeeo.com · symbeeo.com

© 2026 Symbeeo. All rights reserved.

Product and company names and logos are their owners' trademarks, shown only to identify the lines Symbeeo sources. Naming a vendor or showing its logo does not mean the vendor sponsors or approves Symbeeo's comparisons or any recommendation Symbeeo makes.

Figures are as published by their sources on the dates given in this document; figures marked [A] are assumptions.

STP-CON-001 · Version 1.2 · Oct 10, 2026